15

NON HANIM

41.1 s,

FROM ARIZONA.

HRRY.

) specimens of a Sonorella from not seem referable to any of the accordingly offered below.

profes.

type the spire is low conoidal, while in others is raised but a pal whorl; umbilicate, the times in the major diameter; to 41. Embryonic whorls a whorl very finely, irregularly, winkles becoming finer and is where they are crossed by nes, passing obliquely downait of the whorl to the suture, ing with beautiful regularity a a series of shells is examined t variation. Frequently the terrupted, especially near the hich later coalesce. Somerunning in a direction counter ting them. Above the sumgrowth come closer together, id less distinct, but occasional y pass obliquely downward ie depression of the whorl at e superior suture to the sume fine wrinkling becomes aland-three-quarters show irre-; by lines of minute papillae, with the aid of such magnic. The last whorl is apparof growth. This whorl is htly in front. The aperture

is subcircular and very oblique. The peristone is thin, its margin only slightly thickened and scarcely at all expanded or reflexed except at the base. There is an excessively delicate parietal callus. The type measures, alt. 8, major diam. 16.5, lesser diam. 14 mm.; diam. of umbilicus 2 mm.; aperture 8 x 8 mm.

Largest specimen, alt. 11, major diam. 19 mm. Smallest adult, alt. 7, major diam. 15 mm.

Type: Cat. No. 3733 of the writer's collection. A paratype is Cat. No. 117086 of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia, and another is in the collection of George Willett.

Type Locality: Roosevelt, Gila County, Arizona; in rock slides on north slopes, 2200 ft. altitude. 31 specimens examined, taken by Mr. George Willett, December 15, 1914, and November 1916.

Remarks: Although the shell characters of this modest species offer no very striking peculiarities, I have been unable to identify it with any of the sixty or so described members of the genus. There is apparently no end to the Arizonan Sonorellas. As compared with the other species of which I have seen specimens, S. rooseveltiana seems more than usually thin and fragile. The general porportions of the shell, as the spire, aperture, and so on, are guite variable.

Some of Mr. Willett's shells have found their way into other collections as S. coloradoensis Stearns, from the figures a quite different species.

Redlands, California.

## THE DISTINCTIVE CHARACTERS OF LAMPSILIS MINOR AND L. VILLOSA.

## BY T. VAN HYNING.

In sending out specimens of the *Unionidae* of Florida from the Florida State Museum, we have stated of *Lampsilis villosa* B. H. Wright, and *Lampsilis minor* Lea, that it was impossible to differentiate with certainty all of the adult specimens; this

being due to the eroded umbones, but with young specimens showing umbonal sculpture, it was an easy matter. Simpson says in his Descriptive Catalogue for both species, that the umbonal sculpture was not seen; hence no description. This museum has numerous specimens of young and adult of both species recently collected, and the young show the umbonal sculpture of both species to be composed of about four coarse ridges; in minor they are circular and in villosa V-shaped looped.

Mr. Frierson, in a letter of November 27, '16, writes that he has discovered how to differentiate the adults of these two species. He calls attention to an additional small muscle scar (cicatricula?) at the upper end of the anterior muscle scar (cicatrix) in minor.

I have just found time to go over the specimens in this museum and open them up, and separate them according to Mr. Frierson's discovery, and I am now prepared to give some additional information. In the majority of specimens a glass is required to see the small scar referred to, and then in the majority of specimens the small scar, instead of being separate, is but an extension of the larger one, which makes it still harder to determine. Simpson says, of minor, "anterior scars deep," and of villosa "muscle scars scarcely impressed." In opening a shell, the deep scar in minor is at once noticeable from the shallow one of villosa. Minor is a heavier, wider, and shorter shell than villosa, and the anterior distance from the umbo is shorter in minor.

Florida State Museum.

## NOTES ON REPRODUCTION AND GROWTH IN CERTAIN VIVIPAROUS MUSSELS OF THE FAMILY SPHARRIIDAR.

## BY RALPH J. GILMORE.

The present study was undertaken in an effort to determine the nature of the reproductive process in certain common forms of the family *Sphaeriidae*. For a long time incubation of the young has been known to occur in European forms, but no investigation has been made of related forms from America.

HISTORICAL, Ja of Cyclas develop composed of a na When impregnated protrude from the: duced into the gil interior membrane eggs and the young but one egg or you a certain size, the c the gill cavity. W time, capsules and the only part of Ja fact that the young firm his work. Osl of Cyclas calyculata. of Cyclas cornea. § the brood pouch of were confirmed in both of these men w development of the pouch were mere a shows a diagramm: brood pouch. De figures a brood pou inary note on the i followed in 1911 by: Both of these author structure of the pou that has been publ who in 1894 describ

MATERIAL. The the summer and fall neighborhood of It Calyculina truncata a

Calyculina truncat It averages about eig shell is very fragile